

Estimates on the Numbers of Illegal and Smuggled Immigrants in Europe

Presentation at Workshop 1.6 8th International Metropolis Conference

Michael Jandl, 17.9.2003



Illegal Migration as a subject of research?

Illegal (undocumented) Migration

- is statistically not <u>directly</u> quantifyable (by its very nature)
- is therefore scientifically neglected and dismissed
- has high social and political relevance
- is highly present in the media, often based on shaky numbers
- Even if no strictly "scientific" evidence is available:
 - indications on <u>methods</u>, <u>assumptions</u> and <u>sources</u> of quantitative estimates should be given
 - so that a careful interpretation of data can produce qualified statements on the <u>dimensions, trends and quality</u> of illegal migration.



Illegal Migration: Definitions and Types

Differentiation:

- stock data (illegal residence, illegal work)
- flow data (illegal entry)

6 relevant types:

| | Residence legal | Residence illegal |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Entry legal | Work illegal | Work illegal No Work |
| Entry illegal | Work illegal | Work illegal No Work |



Methods I: "Residual" estimates

Based on the differences between

- Census results and
- Aliens registers, municipal registers, etc.
- Example USA:
 - The INS estimates some 8.5 million illegal residents on the basis of the Census 2000
- Example Spain:
 - The Census 2001 counted 1.57 million foreigners as against 1.11 million foreigners with valid residence permits (INE)



Methods II: "Multiplier" estimates

Based on the <u>projection</u> of available indicators, using an appropriately defined multiplier, on:

- Flow data (illegal immigration)

Example: apprehension data of illegal migrants at the border

- Stock data (illegal residence, illegal work)

Example 1: apprehension data of illegal migrants within the country Example 2: data on illegal employment of foreigners from labour inspectorates

Variant: "Capture-Recapture" Method



Methods III: "Demographic" estimates

Based on the observation that illegal (undocumented) migrants leave <u>traces in official demographic statistics</u>, it is (theoretically) possible to make projections on the size of the uncounted population

- Examples
 - Birth rates by nationalities
 - Death rates by nationalities
 - Hospitalization rates by nationalities
 - other



Methods IV: "indirect" estimates

Indirect estimation techniques involve the estimation of uncounted quantities through their <u>postulated correlation</u> to other available indicators

- Examples
 - Cash in circulation (M0) → Size of the "grey economy" (Studies of Prof. Schneider, Univ. of Linz)
 - Electricity consumption
 - Bread consumption
 - Other



Stock results I: Illegal employment in Switzerland

Piguet (2001)

- Survey of over 800 enterprises in Switzerland
- Sampling according to specific business branches
- Questioned as to the estimated share of illegal employment of foreigners in the own branch

Various results depending on the choice of multiplier:

- Arithmetic Average (1.5% to 10.3% according to branch) \rightarrow 182,556
- Median (0.1% to 10.0% according to branch) \rightarrow 73,100
- Highly affected branches: construction, catering and hotelery



Stock results II: The capturerecapture method in the NL

Van der Leun et al. (1998)

- Analysis of police apprehension figures of foreigners
- In four cities: Amsterdam, Rotterdam, Den Haag and Utrecht
- Estimation of relation illegally/legally present foreigners
- 7.3% of 545.000 \rightarrow 40,000 illegally present foreigners in 4 cities
- Projection to the whole of the Netherlands \rightarrow 60,000

Engbersen et al. (2002)

- Analysis of data from 25 police districts (1997-2000)
- Results → 112,000 163,000 illegally present foreigners in the Netherlands



Stock results III: Evidence from regularization programmes

Recurring regularization programmes in:

- Greece (2001 current; up to 7/2001: 351,110 applications)
- **Portugal (2001: around 90,000 regularizations)**
- Spain (2000-2001: Total of 614,377 applications concerning some 350,000 persons leading to 334,000 regularizations)
- Italy (2002 current; up to 3/2003: some 700,000 applications)

Problems:

- Number of applications is not the same as number of persons applying
- Wide differences in implementation (Italy easier; Greece difficult)
- Not all illegal residents apply; additional non-residents apply
- **Persons regularized can fall back into irregularity**



Stock results IV: The range of estimates in 12 European countries



Totals: Lower: 2.6 mio/Medium: 4.0 mio/Higher: 6.4 mio



Flow results I: Illegal immigration to Europe

Problems:

- Very few sources
- EU-wide apprehension statistics are confidential (CIREFI)
- Varying methods

Examples:

- Heckmann (2000): On the basis of EU apprehension data (260,000) and 2:1 multiplier → 400,000+
- BND (2001): some 1000/day to the EU
- Widgren (2002): On the basis of apprehension statistics and 2:1 multiplier and the share of irregular entries among asylum-seekers → 500,000 per year



Flow results II: Illegal immigration to the UK

Migration Watch UK (2002)

Three-part estimate:

- 1) "Disappeared asylum-seekers" (some 60% of total or 50,000)
- 2) Visa-overstayers (1% of 3.6 mio visitors from non-EU countries or 35,000)
- 3) Clandestine entries (ratio of 1:2 of 47,000 apprehensions in the year 2000 or 25,000)

Total: 50,000 + 35,000 + 25,000 = 110,000 illegal immigrants/year



Flow results III: Estimating the "correct multiplier"

Example 1: Germany

- 2001: 113,000 apprehensions, of which 45,000 apprehensions at the border
- Multiplier = ?
- Indicator: of 17,000 asylum-seekers from Iraq (2001), only 3,000 had contact with the police before submitting an application (20 %)
- \rightarrow Multiplier of 5?
- Similar examples from Austria and Czech Republic (M=4 to 5)

Example 2: Greece

- In 2001: total of 219,598 apprehensions, of which 167,168 from border guards and 6,864 from the coast guard
- 75 % of apprehended migrants were Albanians (circular migration involving only short distances, multiple apprehensions likely)
- Multiplier = ?







The link between illegal migration and human smuggling

- Police authorities from various countries have estimated the proportion of "facilitated" illegal entries between 30% 80%
- The German BAFL made a survey among asylum-seekers in 2000 in which 2/3 of respondents claimed to have made use of a facilitator to enter Germany, only 6 % claimed to have arrived independently
- Europol (2001) estimated that about half of all illegal migrants to the EU had made use of smugglers

Useful differentiation

- Long-distance migration (Iraq, Afghanistan, China,...) → very strong links
- Medium-distance migration (Ukraine, Turkey, Georgia,...) → strong links
- Short-distance/network migration (e.g. FRY) → weaker links
- Visa-free migration (e.g. Romania, Poland) → very weak links



Estimating the size of the human smuggling industry

Smuggling fees

- Long-distance smuggling (Iraq, Afghanistan, China,..):
 € 3,000 up to € 40,000
- Medium-distance smuggling (Ukraine, Turkey, Georgia,..):
 € 1,500 to € 6,000
- Short-distance smuggling/provision of basic documents (e.g. FRY):
 € 200 to € 5,000

Sizing up the human smuggling industry

Based on

- Apprehension figures + estimates of illegal migration
- The geographical distribution of illegal and smuggled migrants
- A differentiation of the links of illegal migration to human smuggling
- A further differentiation according to smuggling fees
- → The size of the industry can be estimated at some € 4 billion/p.a. for the EU-25



An important note at the end

All estimates are fraught with <u>substantial problems and errors</u>:

- Varying quality/availability of apprehension data
- Methodological problems (what is the right "multiplier"?)
- Highly complex patterns of migration
- Highly dynamic changes in stocks and flows of migrants

Therefore:

- The figures provided can give only a very rough picture of the dimension of illegal migration to Europe and should not be seen as the definite account of the size of the phenomenon
- → Rather, the estimates provided should stimulate debate on how to improve our understanding of illegal migration to Europe.